Modelling with piecewise implicit patches

Sipos Ágoston

Budapest University of Technology, Dept. of Control Eng. and Information Technology, Computer Graphics & Geometry Group

2019. 11. 04.

Contents



Implicit methods
 Liming's method
 I-Patch



(□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□)

Contents



Implicit methods
Liming's method
I-Patch



Implicit surfaces

$$f: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$$

scalar function

$$\{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbb{R}^3:f(p)=0\}$$

implicit surface

F(x, y) > 0 F(x, y) < 0 F(x, y) = 0

Means a space partitioning to "inside" and "outside" which define a separating surface.

Implicit surfaces

Known implicit functions for standard objects: Plane: f(x,y,z) = ax + by + cz + dSphere: $f(x,y,z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - r^2$ Cylinder: $f(x,y,z) = x^2 + y^2 - z^2$ Cone: $f(x,y,z) = x^2 + y^2 - z^2$ Torus: $f(x,y,z) = (\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - R)^2 + z^2 - r^2$

Lacks easy algorithm for evaluation However, many things (approximation, offsetting) can be easier Hardware-accelerated rendering might be available

Liming's methoo I-Patch

Contents



Implicit methods
 Liming's method
 I-Patch



Liming's method I-Patch

Liming's method

- We have two straight lines $(L_1 = 0, L_2 = 0)$ and want their corner to be blended
- We take a cutting line ($C_1 = 0$)
- $F = (1 \lambda)L_1L_2 + \lambda C_1^2$ is a correct blend curve in implicit form
- F touches L_1 and L_2 with G^1 continuity



Liming's method I-Patch

Liming's method in 3D



Original edge



Cut edge

Liming's method I-Patch

Liming's method in 3D



Liming-surface, $\lambda = 0.2$



Liming-surface, $\lambda = 0.6$

Liming's method I-Patch

Functional spline

• Direkt generalization of Liming's method using the fact that the union of *n* implicit surfaces is their product

•
$$F = (1 - \lambda) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_i + \lambda \prod_{i=1}^{m} B_i^2$$
, where P_i , $i = 1..n$ primary surfaces (requiring G^1 continuous connection), B_i , $i = 1..m$ cutting surfaces

• Problem: by unioning things we lose logical grouping

Liming's method I-Patch

Functional spline



Aesthetic curve



Functional spline

Liming's method I-Patch

I-Patch

- P_i , i = 1..n primary surfaces, B_i , i = 1..n boundary surfaces
- Surfaces with the same index together represent a side of the patch

•
$$I = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (w_i P_i \prod_{j \neq i} B_j^2) + w \prod_{i=1}^{n} B_i^2$$
, $w_i, w \in \mathbb{R}$

- E.g. n = 2: $I = w_1 P_1 B_2^2 + w_2 P_2 B_1^2 + w B_1^2 B_2^2$
- *n* real coefficients (the equation can be divided with a scalar)



Liming's method I-Patch

I-Patch – Properties

 $I = w_1 P_1 B_2^2 + w_2 P_2 B_1^2 + w B_1^2 B_2^2$ Boundary interpolation:

- i^{th} side: $\{P_i = 0\} \cap \{B_i = 0\}$
- The equation is trivially zero



- G^1 continuity:
 - on the i^{th} . side to P_i
 - $I = QP_i + WB_i^2$, $Q, W : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$
 - $(\nabla I) = (\nabla Q) P_i + Q (\nabla P_i) + (\nabla W) B_i^2 + W 2B_i (\nabla B_i) =$ = 0 + Q $(\nabla P_i) + 0 + 0$
 - If exponents in formula are n+1: G^n continuity

Liming's method I-Patch

3D equations - with ribbons

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (w_i P_i \prod_{j \neq i} B_j^2) + w \prod_{i=1}^{n} B_i^2, w_i, w \in \mathbb{R}$$



Liming's method I-Patch

3D equation - with corners

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k,k+1} \left(\prod_{i \neq k, i \neq k+1} B_i^2 \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k \left(\prod_{i \neq k} B_i^2 \right) + w \prod_{i=1}^{n} B_i^2$$



Contents



Implicit methods
 Liming's method
 I-Patch



Cell-based modelling

- For a cell-partitioning, have an implicit function for each cell
- Continuity constraints on cell-boundary

Input: tangential planes in corner points



Topology

Classifying vertices













"Ideal" patches



Problems

Automatic calculation of coefficients is needed.





Polyhedral blending



<ロト < 回 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 21/24

Polyhedral blending



<ロ><目><一><一><一><一><一><一</td>22/24

More difficult configurations



Summary

Advantages:

- Free-form geometry in implicit form.
- More practical for fitting, offsetting and raycasting
- Automatic fulfillment of continuity constraints

Difficulties:

- Not easy to handle all geometric configurations correctly
- Automatic setting of coefficients is not trivial

Thank you for your attention!